

# ICO consultation on the draft updated data sharing code of practice

Q1 Does the updated code adequately explain and advise on the new aspects of data protection legislation which are relevant to data sharing?

Yes

No

Q2 If not, please specify where improvements could be made.

Q3 Does the draft code cover the right issues about data sharing?

Yes

No

Q4 If no, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

Q5 Does the draft code contain the right level of detail?

Yes

No

Q6 If no, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft code?

Q7 Has the draft code sufficiently addressed new areas or developments in data protection that are having an impact on your organisation's data sharing practices?

Yes

No

Q8 If no, please specify what areas are not being addressed, or not being addressed in enough detail.

Q9 Does the draft code provide enough clarity on good practice in data sharing?

Yes

No

Q10 If no, please indicate the section(s) of the draft code which could be improved, and what can be done to make the section(s) clearer.

Q11 Does the draft code strike the right balance between recognising the benefits of sharing data and the need to protect it?

Yes

No

Q12 If no, in what way does the draft code fail to strike this balance?

Q13 Does the draft code cover case studies or data sharing scenarios relevant to your organisation?

Yes

No

Q14 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft code.

In the Data Pooling section there is a comment that 'Data pooling is a form of data sharing where organisations decide together to pool information they hold and make it available to each other, or to different organisations. The organisations responsible for the data sharing would be regarded as joint controllers under Article 26 of the GDPR.' It is felt that this is a little too general as a statement. It would be better to say controllers are likely to be joint, but you need to assess each controller as to whether and to what extent they are involved in decisions on purposes and means. This is best described by an example – the Summary Care Record (SCR) has NHS Digital as the data controller. Contributing practices are not, but they are pooling data. Practices are not involved in determining both the purposes and means of the SCR, so logically they aren't joint controllers. It would be useful if they could link this to any guidance to help determine joint controllership as it is key to many DSAs etc.

Q15 To what extent do you agree that the draft code is clear and easy to understand?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Q16 Are you answering as:

- An individual acting in a private capacity (e.g. someone providing their views as a member of the public of the public)
- An individual acting in a professional capacity
- On behalf of an organisation
- Other

Q17 Please specify

Q18 Please specify  
National Strategic IG Network please

Q19 Please specify

Thank you for taking the time to share your views and experience.