ID. Date of interview date 29/12/19

ID. Time interview started start 19:14:57

ID.end Completion date of interview Date 29/12/19

ID.end Time interview ended 19:26:24

ID. Duration of interview time 11.45

Start of new case

Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?
O Yes
No No
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?
Recruitment. For most people in the UK, the decision to hire or reject someone for a career post is more important to them than their medical data. As just one example, the reason for rejecting someone is often thought to be due to prejudice - they have one of the Protected Characteristics as outlined in the Equality Act of 2010 - or an inaccurate assessment of their skill to do the job interviewed for. In both cases, it is vital for both employer and candidate to have access to the real reasons. In every case, this is very sensitive personal data to the candidate. For the employer, they need to ensure that decisions are not made based upon prejudice, and that hiring decision-makers are doing a good job of assessing candidates' skills correctly. I would appreciate being requesting to write the ICO a detailed document on this issue that adversely affects millions of people every year.

Q1

Q2	Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?				
	○ No				
	O Unsure / don't know				
	If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?				

Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?
○ Yes
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.
As above

Q3

Q4	We have found that data proted defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject range of examples from a variety of sectors to hell unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).	ct access re	quests. W	e would like	to includ	e a wide
Q5	On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the	ne draft guid	dance?			
		1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful
Q6	Why have you given this score It is fairly thorough and quite		sive			
Q7	To what extent do you agree that	the draft gu	uidance is	clear and eas	sy to unde	erstand?
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree

Q9	Are you answering as: An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public) An individual acting in a professional capacity On behalf of an organisation Other Please specify the name of your organisation: networx recruitment
	What sector are you from: recruitment marketing
Q10	How did you find out about this survey? ICO Twitter account ICO Facebook account ICO LinkedIn account ICO website ICO newsletter ICO staff member Colleague Personal/work Twitter account Personal/work Facebook account Personal/work LinkedIn account Other If other please specify:

Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft

Q8

guidance.

To add the issue of Recruitment