

## ICO consultation on the draft right of access guidance

The right of access (known as subject access) is a fundamental right of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). It allows individuals to find out what personal data is held about them and to obtain a copy of that data. Following on from our initial GDPR guidance on this right (published in April 2018), the ICO has now drafted more detailed guidance which explains in greater detail the rights that individuals have to access their personal data and the obligations on controllers. The draft guidance also explores the special rules involving certain categories of personal data, how to deal with requests involving the personal data of others, and the exemptions that are most likely to apply in practice when handling a request.

We are running a consultation on the draft guidance to gather the views of stakeholders and the public. These views will inform the published version of the guidance by helping us to understand the areas where organisations are seeking further clarity, in particular taking into account their experiences in dealing with subject access requests since May 2018.

If you would like further information about the consultation, please email SARquidance@ico.org.uk.

Please send us your response by 17:00 on **Wednesday 12 February 2020**.

## Privacy statement

For this consultation, we will publish all responses received from organisations but we will remove any personal data before publication. We will not publish responses received from respondents who have indicated that they are an individual acting in a private capacity (e.g. a member of the public). For more information about what we do with personal data see our privacy notice.

Please note, your responses to this survey will be used to help us with our work on the right of access only. The information will not be used to consider any regulatory action, and you may respond anonymously should you wish. Please note that we are using the platform Snap Surveys to gather this information. Any data collected by Snap Surveys for ICO is stored on UK servers. You can read their Privacy Policy.

	Yes
$\boxtimes$	No No
	Unsure/don't know
	or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be ed in it?
basis of a making a	ut, some DSARs are made by customers whose accounts have been locked on to security review (e.g. usually based on the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002). By DSAR, customers are trying to get as much information as they can on the of our review and the evidence we hold against them.
between which is	institutions could benefit from ICO guidance on how to approach conflicts a customer's right to a Data Subject Access Request and the risks of 'tipping o an offence under Section 33A Proceeds of Crime Act 2002, when a Suspicious Report has been filed to the NCA in relation to that data subject.
Q2	Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?
	l Voc
$\succeq$	No
If no o	No No
If no o within  Business DSAR as disruptin very seri	Unsure/don't know  r unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail the draft guidance?  es would also benefit from further guidance on how to deal with customers usin a means of attracting the business' attention on unrelated issues or simply g the business out of frustration. Revolut takes its data protection obligations ously and always addresses those DSARs in a professional way. Nonetheless, on where the draw the line between honest DSAR and a means of disruption
If no o within  Business DSAR as disruptin very seri guidance	Unsure/don't know  r unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail the draft guidance?  es would also benefit from further guidance on how to deal with customers usin a means of attracting the business' attention on unrelated issues or simply g the business out of frustration. Revolut takes its data protection obligations ously and always addresses those DSARs in a professional way. Nonetheless, on where the draw the line between honest DSAR and a means of disruption
If no o within  Business DSAR as disruptin very seri guidance would be	Unsure/don't know r unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail the draft guidance?  es would also benefit from further guidance on how to deal with customers usin a means of attracting the business' attention on unrelated issues or simply g the business out of frustration. Revolut takes its data protection obligations ously and always addresses those DSARs in a professional way. Nonetheless, on where the draw the line between honest DSAR and a means of disruption useful.  Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?
If no o within  Business DSAR as disruptin very seri guidance would be	Unsure/don't know r unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail the draft guidance?  es would also benefit from further guidance on how to deal with customers usin a means of attracting the business' attention on unrelated issues or simply g the business out of frustration. Revolut takes its data protection obligations ously and always addresses those DSARs in a professional way. Nonetheless, on where the draw the line between honest DSAR and a means of disruption useful.  Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?  Yes

If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that you think should be included in the draft guidance.

It would be useful for the ICO to provide examples specific to financial institutions.						

We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).							
For financial institutions, a 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access request would be where the data subject asks to be sent <i>all</i> internal and external correspondence in relation to him/her. This would include guidance on whether financial institutions need to share every single document on which the data subject's name appears or whether there are some limitations.							
On a scale o	f 1-5 how useful	is the draft guidance	?				
- Not at all useful □	2 – Slightly useful □	3 – Moderately useful □	4 - Very useful ⊠	5 – Extremely useful			
Why have you given this score?							
The draft guidance is quite extensive, however, as suggested in the above responses, financial institutions would benefit from further guidance on subject access requests where the data subject has committed fraud, or another offence which limits the ability of the business to respond to the subject access request in the fullest way. There should be a clear line between respecting the data subject's right to access his or her personal data, and compliance with the applicable legislation (e.g.POCA 2002).							
To what exte	nt do you agree	that the draft guidan	ce is clear and eas	y to understand?			
Strongly	Disagree	Neither agree nor	Agree	Strongly agree			
Please provioguidance.	de any further co	omments or suggestion	ons you may have a	about the draft			
	defining 'manilike to include Please provide below (if appliance of the please provide below (if appliance)  For financial in would be when in relation to to share every there are some of the please provide the business to a clear line between and compliance.  To what extending the please provide the provide the please pleas	defining `manifestly unfounded like to include a wide range of Please provide some examples below (if applicable).  For financial institutions, a `mar would be where the data subject in relation to him/her. This wout to share every single document there are some limitations.  On a scale of 1-5 how useful  Not at all 2 - Slightly useful  Why have you given this score where the data subject has common the business to respond to the sual aclear line between respecting the and compliance with the applicable.  To what extent do you agree  Strongly Disagree  Graph Disagree  Disagree	defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject like to include a wide range of examples from a var Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded or extended in the least provide some examples of manifestly unfounded or extended in the least provide some examples of manifestly unfounded below (if applicable).  For financial institutions, a 'manifestly unfounded or extended in the least subject asks to be sent all in in relation to him/her. This would include guidance on to share every single document on which the data substitute are some limitations.  On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance.  Not at all 2 - Slightly 3 - Moderately useful useful useful useful useful useful.  Why have you given this score?  The draft guidance is quite extensive, however, as suggifinancial institutions would benefit from further guidance where the data subject has committed fraud, or anothe the business to respond to the subject access request in a clear line between respecting the data subject's right and compliance with the applicable legislation (e.g.POC.)  To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance with the applicable legislation (e.g.POC.)  To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance disagree disagree disagree	defining `manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to he Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive below (if applicable).  For financial institutions, a `manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject accessive would be where the data subject asks to be sent all internal and external or in relation to him/her. This would include guidance on whether financial institutions to share every single document on which the data subject's name appears there are some limitations.  On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?  Not at all 2 - Slightly 3 - Moderately 4 - Very useful the business to respond to the subject from further guidance on subject access where the data subject has committed fraud, or another offence which limits the business to respond to the subject access request in the fullest way. The aclear line between respecting the data subject's right to access his or her land compliance with the applicable legislation (e.g.POCA 2002).  To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and eas Strongly Disagree Neither agree nor Agree disagree     Disagree Neither agree nor Agree   Disagree   Disagree			

<b>Q</b> 9	Are you answering as:				
	<ul> <li>□ An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)</li> <li>□ An individual acting in a professional capacity</li> <li>☑ On behalf of an organisation</li> <li>□ Other</li> </ul>				
	Please specify the name of your organisation:				
	Revolut Ltd				
	What sector are you from:				
	Financial institution (EMI)				
Q10	How did you find out about this survey?  ICO Twitter account ICO Facebook account ICO LinkedIn account ICO website ICO newsletter ICO staff member Colleague Personal/work Twitter account Personal/work Facebook account Personal/work LinkedIn account				
	□ Other				

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey.