

4 June 2024

IC-302770-C6P5

Review of response to information request

I write further to your request for internal review.

You are questioning the application of section 40(2) of the FOIA to withhold the name of the Data Protection Officer (DPO) of Uber. You disagree that the personal data exemption should apply to the name of a DPO of a large organisation, given the seniority of the role and the fact that this information can usually be found in the public domain.

The purpose of an internal review is to look again at your request, at our response, and to check that any exemptions applied were appropriate. I am a Senior Information Access Officer in the Information Access Team and I have had no prior involvement in the handling of your request.

Review

I agree with your challenge. The individual's name is in the public domain and easily found on internet search results, including on Uber's own website. I find that disclosure of this information would therefore not contravene the data protection principles, and I agree that section 40(2) is not engaged.

I can confirm that the DPO for Uber named on our system is Simon Hania.

I apologise that as a result of our initial response this information was not provided to you within 20 working days as set out in the FOIA.

This concludes my review.

Complaint procedure

If you are dissatisfied with the outcome of this review you can make a formal complaint with the ICO in its capacity as the regulator of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Please follow the link below to submit your complaint:

<https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/>

Yours sincerely

Jennifer Wilkes
Senior Information Access Officer

Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9
5AF

ico.org.uk twitter.com/iconews

For information about what we do with personal data see our [privacy notice](#).
Please consider the environment before printing this email