

PDB Assessment Methodology

Document name	PDB assessment methodology
Version number	1.1
Status	Published
Department/Team	Cyber Security
Relevant policies	Security Incident Management Policy
Distribution	Internal
Author/Owner	Operational Security Manager
Approved by	Head of Cyber Security
Date of sign off	June 2023
Review by	June 2025
Security classification	OFFICIAL - ORG USE ONLY

Key messages

The main objective of this document is to define a recommended methodology for assessing personal data breaches.

Does this guide relate to me?

This document should be read and understood by all personnel with responsibility for managing our tactical response to personal data breaches.

ico.

OFFICIAL - ORG USE ONLY

Table of contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Methodology	3
3.	Feedback on this document	. 5
4.	Version history	5

OFFICIAL - ORG USE ONLY



1. Introduction

- 1.1 This purpose of this document is to define a consistent and repeatable method for assessing and prioritising personal data breaches (PDB). The document supports the Security Incident Management Policy.
- 1.2 The scope of this document applies to PDBs that affect manually and electronically processed personal data within our organisation's care.
- 1.3 PDBs are a subset of information security incidents, and may be defined as a breach of security leading to the accidental or unlawful destruction, loss, alteration, unauthorised disclosure of, or access to, personal data transmitted, stored or otherwise processed. (GDPR Article 4(12) refers).

Back to Top

2. Methodology

2.1 Criteria

The main criteria to be assessed are summarised below.

- Data Processing Context (DPC): Addresses the type of data breached, together with a number of factors linked to the overall context of processing.
- Ease of Identification (EI): Determines how easily the identity of the individuals can be deduced from the data involved in the breach.
- Circumstances of breach (CB): Addresses the specific circumstances
 of the breach, which are related to the type of the breach, including
 any loss of confidentiality, integrity or availability, as well as any
 malicious intent.

2.2 Priority

To assess the priority of the PDB, and whether the PDB is likely to result in a risk to the rights and freedoms of data subjects, the incident responder should use the following method:

$$SE = DPC + EI + CB$$

Note, the method is advisory, and has been adapted from the ENISA recommendations for a methodology of the assessment of severity of personal data breaches (www.enisa.europa.eu), which contains a more

ico.

OFFICIAL - ORG USE ONLY

detailed description of the main criteria together with aggravating and mitigating factors that may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

2.3 Criteria scoring

Data processing context	
Basic score where breach involves simple data, and controller is not aware of any aggravating factors.	0
Basic score where breach involves behavioural or financial data, and controller is not aware of any aggravating or mitigating factors.	1
Basic score where breach involves sensitive data, and controller is not aware of any mitigating factors.	2

Ease of identification	
Personal data protected using strong encryption or otherwise cannot be matched to a subject.	0
Personal data in clear text but cannot be easily matched to a subject without additional information.	1
Personal data in clear text and can be easily matched to a subject.	2

Circumstances of breach	
Personal data: disclosed to known recipients; modified, or not available, but can be restored; and, there is no evidence of illegal processing	0
Personal data: disclosed to unknown recipients; modified, or not available, but cannot be restored; and, there is no evidence of illegal processing	1
Personal data: disclosed, modified, or not available, as a result of malicious behaviour.	2

2.4 Priority scoring

Score	Risk	Consequences	Response
0 to 2	Low	Subject may encounter minor inconvenience, which can be overcome without difficulty.	May be handled in line with local business processes.



OFFICIAL - ORG USE ONLY

3 to 4	Medium	Subject may encounter major inconvenience, which can be overcome with few difficulties.	 Escalate to Incident Manager. DPO shall be notified. Subjects may be notified as appropriate.
5 to 6	High	Subject may encounter severe consequences, which may prove difficult to overcome.	 Escalate to Incident Manager. DPO shall be notified. ICO shall be notified. Subjects shall be notified as appropriate.

Back to Top

3. Feedback on this document

If you have any feedback on this document, please click this link to provide it.

Back to Top

4. Version history

Version	Changes made	Date	Made by
1.0	Initial release	June 2021	S Rook
1.1	Minor format changes on review	June 2023	S Rook

Back to Top