

## Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA)

### Decision notice

**Date:** 23 March 2016

**Public Authority:** British Broadcasting Corporation  
**Address:** Room BC2 A4  
Broadcast Centre White City  
Wood Lane  
London  
W12 7TP

#### Decision (including any steps ordered)

---

1. The complainant has requested information relating to the voting results in the Eurovision 'You Decide' final. The BBC explained that the information was covered by the derogation and excluded from FOIA.
2. The Commissioner's decision is that this information was held by the BBC for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature' and did not fall within the scope of FOIA. He therefore upholds the BBC's position and requires no remedial steps to be taken in this case.

#### Request and response

---

3. On 1 March 2016 the complainant made the following information request to the BBC:

*"The full voting results for each 6 contestants in the Eurovision 'You Decide' final. Total number of votes cast in the Eurovision 'You Decide' final. Number of votes cast by text, online, phone etc for the Eurovision 'You Decide' final."*

4. On 7 March 2016 the BBC responded to the request. The BBC explained that it did not consider that the information was caught by FOIA because it was held for the purposes of 'art, journalism or literature'.

## Scope of the case

---

5. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 8 March 2016 to complain about the way his request for information had been handled.
6. The Commissioner considers the scope of the case is to determine whether the information requested is excluded from FOIA because it would be held for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature'.

## Reasons for decision

---

7. Schedule One, Part VI of FOIA provides that the BBC is a public authority for the purposes of FOIA but only has to deal with requests for information in some circumstances. The entry relating to the BBC states:

"The British Broadcasting Corporation, in respect of information held for purposes other than those of journalism, art or literature."
8. This means that the BBC has no obligation to comply with part I to V of the Act where information is held for 'purposes of journalism, art or literature'. The Commissioner calls this 'the derogation'.
9. The scope of the derogation was considered by the Court of Appeal in the case *Sugar v British Broadcasting Corporation and another* [2010] EWCA Civ 715, and later, on appeal, by the Supreme Court (*Sugar (Deceased) v British Broadcasting Corporation* [2012] UKSC 4). The leading judgment in the Court of Appeal case was made by Lord Neuberger of Abbotsbury MR who stated that:

*"..... once it is established that the information sought is held by the BBC for the purposes of journalism, it is effectively exempt from production under FOIA, even if the information is also held by the BBC for other purposes."* (paragraph 44), and that *"....provided there is a genuine journalistic purpose for which the information is held, it should not be subject to FOIA."* (paragraph 46)
10. The Supreme Court endorsed this approach and concluded that if the information is held for the purpose of journalism, art or literature, it is caught by the derogation even if that is not the predominant purpose for holding the information in question.

11. In order to establish whether the information is held for a derogated purpose, the Supreme Court indicated that there should be a sufficiently direct link between at least one of the purposes for which the BBC holds the information (ignoring any negligible purposes) and the fulfilment of one of the derogated purposes. This is the test that the Commissioner will apply.
12. If a sufficiently direct link is established between the purposes for which the BBC holds the information and any of the three derogated purposes – i.e. journalism, art or literature - it is not subject to FOIA.
13. The Supreme Court said that the Information Tribunal's definition of journalism (in *Sugar v Information Commissioner* (EA/2005/0032, 29 August 2006)) as comprising three elements, continues to be Authoritative.

"1. The first is the collecting or gathering, writing and verifying of materials for publication.

2. The second is editorial. This involves the exercise of judgement on issues such as:

\* the selection, prioritisation and timing of matters for broadcast or publication,

\* the analysis of, and review of individual programmes,

\* the provision of context and background to such programmes.

3. The third element is the maintenance and enhancement of the standards and quality of journalism (particularly with respect to accuracy, balance and completeness). This may involve the training and development of individual journalists, the mentoring of less experienced journalists by more experienced colleagues, professional supervision and guidance, and reviews of the standards and quality of particular areas of programme making."

However, the Supreme Court said this definition should be extended to include the act of broadcasting or publishing the relevant material. This extended definition should be adopted when applying the 'direct link test'.

14. The Supreme Court also explained that "journalism" primarily means the BBC's "output on news and current affairs", including sport, and that "journalism, art or literature" covers the whole of the BBC's output to the public (Lord Walker at paragraph 70). Therefore, in order for the information to be derogated and so fall outside FOIA, there should be a sufficiently direct link between the purpose(s) for which the information is held and the production of the BBC's output and/or the BBC's journalistic or creative activities involved in producing such output.

15. The information that has been requested in this case is information relating to the voting results in the Eurovision 'You Decide' final.
16. The Commissioner considers that the requested information is directly related to the BBC's output and is closely associated with creative activities. The British entry for the 2016 Eurovision Song Contest was selected via the national final 'Eurovision: You Decide', organised by the BBC. Six acts competed in the national final and the winner was selected entirely through a public vote. The votes were clearly collected by the BBC for the purpose of publication to determine the winner which is why it is directly linked to its output.
17. The complainant has argued that "I requested three very basic pieces of information that the BBC has declined to provide on the grounds that 'journalism, art or literature' are exempt. This I fully understand, however I would make the point that 'journalism, art or literature' are in no way compromised by disclosure of the result."
18. The Commissioner notes however that it is irrelevant whether or not 'journalism, art or literature' would be compromised by disclosure. The BBC is not subject to FOIA for this type of information.
19. Having applied the approach to the derogation set out by the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeal, which is binding, the Commissioner is satisfied that the requested information falls under the definition of 'journalism, art or literature' and is therefore derogated. The derogation is engaged as soon as the information is held by the BBC to any extent for journalistic purposes.
20. The Commissioner has therefore found that the request is for information held for the purposes of journalism and that the BBC was not obliged to comply with Parts I to V of FOIA.

## Right of appeal

---

21. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights)  
GRC & GRP Tribunals,  
PO Box 9300,  
LEICESTER,  
LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0300 1234504

Fax: 0870 739 5836

Email: [GRC@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:GRC@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Website: [www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-chamber](http://www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-chamber)

22. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
23. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

**Signed** .....

**Gemma Garvey**  
**Senior Case Officer**  
**Information Commissioner's Office**  
**Wycliffe House**  
**Water Lane**  
**Wilmslow**  
**Cheshire**  
**SK9 5AF**