Charging for environmental information (regulation 8)
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Introduction

1. The Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR) give rights of public access to information held by public authorities.

2. An overview of the main provisions of the EIR can be found in The Guide to the Environmental Information Regulations.

3. This is part of a series of guidance, which goes into more detail than the Guide, to help public authorities to fully understand their obligations and promote good practice.

4. This guidance explains how public authorities should comply with the charging regime laid out in Regulation 8 of the EIR, and, in particular, what constitutes a “reasonable amount”.

Overview

- The overarching purpose of the EIR is to encourage straightforward access to environmental information. Public authorities can charge for supplying environmental information that they hold in response to a request, but any charge must be reasonable and take account of the aim of the Regulations. When considering a charge public authorities should begin by calculating the time and costs incurred in supplying information, but must then go on to consider whether an amount is reasonable. Public authorities should avoid routinely charging for all EIR requests.

- In general, a reasonable charge may include the actual costs of staff time taken to locate information and put it in an appropriate format for disclosure and the disbursement costs in transferring the information to the applicant. This is in contrast to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) where disbursements are the only charges permitted unless the appropriate cost limit is exceeded.

- Public authorities cannot charge for other costs related to holding or providing access to information, for example the ongoing cost of maintaining a database.
• Commercial charges are permitted in limited circumstances.

• Public authorities must have a published schedule of charges in order to be able to charge applicants for environmental information.

• Public authorities cannot charge applicants for inspecting the information or accessing public registers or lists of environmental information.
What the EIR say


Public authorities may make a charge for supplying any environmental information but such charge shall not exceed a reasonable amount.

Article 5(2) is implemented into UK law by virtue of Regulation 8 EIR.

6. The key elements of Regulation 8 state:

8.—(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) to (8), where a public authority makes environmental information available in accordance with regulation 5(1) the authority may charge the applicant for making the information available.

(2) A public authority shall not make any charge for allowing an applicant—

(a) to access any public registers or lists of environmental information held by the public authority; or
(b) to examine the information requested at the place which the public authority makes available for that examination.

(3) A charge under paragraph (1) shall not exceed an amount which the public authority is satisfied is a reasonable amount.

(8) A public authority shall publish and make available to applicants—

(a) a schedule of its charges; and
(b) information on the circumstances in which a charge may be made or waived.

7. While Regulation 8(1) permits a public authority to make a charge for making environmental information available, Regulation 8(2) specifies tasks which it cannot charge for.
8. Regulation 8(3) states that when a public authority is able to make a charge it may only do so if the charge is reasonable.

9. Subsections (4) to (7) concern advance payment, which will be discussed later in this guidance.

A reasonable amount for making information available

10. Regulation 8(1) permits a public authority to charge for supplying environmental information. However, Regulation 8(3) states any charge cannot “exceed an amount which the public authority is satisfied is a reasonable amount”.

C-71/14 East Sussex County Council v Information Commissioner

East Sussex County Council received a request for answers to questions in the standard property search form issued by the Law Society, the CON29R form. The Council imposed a fixed charge for providing this information, factoring in a range of costs. On appeal, the first tier tribunal asked the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) to rule on the interpretation of a ‘reasonable charge’.

The CJEU started by making a distinction between supplying information and allowing access and examination of information in person. A public authority can only charge for “the costs attributable to the time spent by staff of the public authority concerned on answering an individual request for information”. Costs associated with maintaining a database of information are not related to an individual request so cannot be recovered.

The CJEU went on to say that a reasonable charge must not have a deterrent effect or otherwise prevent access to environmental information.

11. The Commissioner considers the overall reasonableness of any charge to be the most important consideration, rather than a focus on the precise activities – for example staff time spent locating and retrieving information - which can be included in the cost. In particular, the charge must not have a deterrent effect on the right to obtain environmental information.
12. The context of a request can affect the reasonableness of any charge. In the East Sussex case, the charge was for providing property search information that is a necessary part of a commercial property transaction. The CJEU noted that the charge for information was a very small part of the wider costs involved in the transaction. A reasonable charge in this commercial context may differ from a reasonable charge when a local residents’ group is seeking information about pollution, for example.

13. The intention behind the EIR is to increase public access to environmental information. This can be seen in recitals 1 and 9 of the Directive from which the EIR are derived. Any charge must be compatible with encouraging transparency and should not be an obstacle to such access. Recital 18 of the Directive states “as a general rule, charges may not exceed the actual costs of producing the material in question”.

14. There are two broad types of costs for which a public authority can charge:

   • The cost of staff time, including overhead costs, incurred when preparing information to be supplied in response to a specific request. This includes time spent locating, retrieving and extracting the information and putting it into the required format.

   • The costs incurred when printing or copying the requested information and sending it to the applicant.

15. It is unreasonable for a public authority to include any further costs associated with a request, for example:

   • The costs associated with maintaining a register of environmental information.

   • The cost of maintaining a database used by the public authority to answer requests for environmental information.

16. The Commissioner strongly discourages public authorities from charging for staff time spent considering the application of any exceptions and redacting excepted information. The subjective nature of this task, especially where reliance on an exception is particularly contentious or the public interest is a borderline decision, could result in charges which are objectively unreasonable to pass on to the requestor. However, it would
generally be reasonable for the disbursement costs to include the cost of materials such as redaction tape used to redact excepted information.

17. A public authority should be able to demonstrate why it believes a charge in each particular case is reasonable. This may mean providing a breakdown of the charges so the requester can understand the basis for the fees. In line with the Directive and Article 5(2) the Commissioner will carry out an objective assessment of whether the PA’s charge was reasonable.

18. A public authority can only make a charge which is in line with a published schedule of charges. This is looked at in more detail in the ‘Schedule of charges’ section below.

19. Regulation 12(4)(b) allows a public authority to refuse a request as being manifestly unreasonable on the grounds that the cost of responding would be too great. Public authorities should consider whether applying that exception is more appropriate. The ICO has published guidance on manifestly unreasonable requests.

**Staff costs**

20. Any charges for staff time must be reasonable and applicants should not be unfairly penalised for a public authority’s poor records management. Public authorities can demonstrate the effectiveness of their records management by following the Code of Practice on the management of records issued under section 46 of FOIA.

21. Regulation 4 of the EIR requires a public authority to implement measures that will improve access to environmental information. If a public authority has failed to take reasonable steps to progressively make environmental information available to the public, including by electronic means, then it is unlikely that a significant charge for staff time will be reasonable. Further guidance on Regulation 4 is contained in the Guide to EIR. Public authorities are also reminded of their responsibilities to make certain categories of environmental information available under the INSPIRE Regulations 2009.
4.—(1) Subject to paragraph (3), a public authority shall in respect of environmental information that it holds—

(a) progressively make the information available to the public by electronic means which are easily accessible; and

(b) take reasonable steps to organize the information relevant to its functions with a view to the active and systematic dissemination to the public of the information.

22. The reasonableness of any charge for staff time will often depend on how wide ranging the request is and how well the authority maintains its records. When charging under the EIR public authorities should also consider their obligations under regulation 9 to provide advice and assistance. This may enable the requester to clarify or narrow down the request and thus incur a lower charge.

23. The DEFRA Code of Practice also states:

“19. Where the applicant indicates that he or she is not prepared to pay any charge requested, the authority should consider whether there is any information that may be of interest to the applicant that is available free of charge.”

24. The Commissioner may also consider compliance with regulation 9 when assessing complaints about charging.

Example 1

It will sometimes be reasonable for a public authority to make a charge for locating information:

A public authority receives a request for the correspondence between an authority and a factory accused of polluting local rivers. The correspondence goes back several years and involves various individuals and departments within the authority. The staff responding to the request have to look through boxes of archive material ordered chronologically and by department. They also conduct an electronic search of archived emails. The authority is
able to charge a reasonable amount to cover the cost of these searches. This is because there is no suggestion of poor records management; rather the costs are incurred because the request covers information held across a wide range of well-ordered records.

**Example 2**

Applicants should not be unfairly penalised in cases where the authority has failed to keep records in a reasonably accessible state:

A public authority receives a request for results of an environmental monitoring exercise. The authority realises that there was no system in place to file or record the statistics in a useful or retrievable manner. The authority has to spend several hours looking through irrelevant archived material and various online systems. It is not reasonable to pass on the entire cost of this exercise to the applicant because it is the public authority’s poor records management that has led to the high costs of retrieving the requested information.

25. The EIR do not specify the rate at which staff time should be calculated. It is a public authority’s responsibility to decide on the rates that are appropriate in their particular circumstances. Any rate must be set out in the public authority’s published schedule of charges, explained in more detail below.

**Disbursements**

26. Disbursement costs incurred in transferring environmental information to the applicant will usually include photocopying and postage. The number and size of the sheets to be copied and the lease charge on the photocopying machine are some of the items a public authority may consider when determining the cost of photocopying. In *David Markinson v Information Commissioner (EA/2005/0014 28 March 2006)* the Tribunal ordered the public authority to adopt the guide price of 10p per A4 sheet as the cost of photocopying. This gives an indication of the level of charge to adopt and an authority would have to demonstrate a good reason for exceeding it. However, an authority would still have to consider the actual costs incurred
in any particular case as the cost of reprographics will change over time.

Commercial charges

27. In general terms, a reasonable amount will not exceed the cost of making the information available. However, there are certain exceptions to this, one of which is where a public authority is permitted to make a commercial charge for information.

28. A market-based charge is considered to be reasonable where the information is made available on a commercial basis and the charge is necessary to ensure such information continues to be collected and published. This comes from the Directive (Recital 18) and is also referred to in guidance issued by DEFRA, which states that a “reasonable amount” will include a rate of return such as that achieved by comparable businesses who face a similar level of risk.

Example

The DEFRA Code of Practice provides the example of a public authority that is a trading fund as being entitled to levy such a market-based charge.

The Ordnance Survey is a government department and so a public authority for purposes of the EIR, but as a trading fund it is able to use income from the goods and services it provides in order to meet outgoings and invest in its business.

One of its main functions is the collection and supply of information (much of which will be environmental) to both the public and private sectors. Pricing of the information is permitted to be set at rates which reflect the commercially competitive market the Ordnance Survey operates within.

Other legislation

29. Public authorities should not assume that charges based on other statutory provisions are reasonable under the EIR.

Example

The Local Authorities (England)(Charges for Property Searches) Regulations 2008 (CPSR), and similar regulations
for Wales, set out charging provisions for property search services. However, there are caveats within the CPSR that mean the charging provisions in the EIR take precedence. These are to be found in regulation 4(2) of the CPSR which disapplies the charging provisions of the CPSR if a local authority is imposing charges that are not permitted under other legislation, such as the EIR.

Further detail on this is provided in our guidance on property searches.

So, despite an apparent statutory basis for permitting an alternative charging mechanism, a public authority must base its charging provision on the test of reasonableness, and the requirements for free access in the EIR.

30. Nevertheless, there may be circumstances where charges based on an alternative statutory provision can be regarded as reasonable for the purposes of the EIR. This emphasises the importance of a public authority being able to satisfy itself on a case-by-case basis that charges are reasonable.

31. If a public authority is charging a fee for making information available under the EIR, they should also be aware of the effect of the Re-use of Public Sector Information Regulations 2015 (RPSI). This includes duties in relation to allowing the re-use of information. RPSI applies to information produced, held or disseminated within a public sector body’s public task and for which they hold copyright, which will include most EIR public authorities. If information is accessible under the EIR (or FOIA), then the public sector body also has to make it available for re-use on request (although libraries, museums and archives can choose whether to allow re-use).

32. Under RPSI regulation 15, public sector bodies can make a charge for allowing re-use, but in most cases this is limited to only the marginal costs “incurred in respect of the reproduction, provision and dissemination” of the information. Certain bodies such as trading funds can charge above this level.

33. The significance of this in terms of EIR is that, if a public authority has made a charge for certain costs when providing information under EIR, it cannot charge for the same costs when allowing the re-use of that information under RPSI. In other words, there can be no ‘double charging’.  

Charging for environmental information (regulation 8)
Tasks for which no charge can be made

34. Regulation 8(2) prevents a public authority from making any charge to access public registers or lists of environmental information it holds, or to examine the information that has been requested at a place made available by the public authority.

Public registers and lists of environmental information

35. The intention of this provision in light of the Directive is to help members of the public to access environmental information by allowing them to see, free of charge, what environmental information is held by a public authority.

36. One method of achieving this is for authorities to provide public registers and lists of environmental information that are easy to access and straightforward to use. They should also provide clear instructions on how to access the information listed. The Directive is derived from the Aarhus Convention and as the Aarhus Implementation Guide (AIG) states, in this way, an applicant will be able to frame a request for environmental information more precisely. Charging for assisting in this is incompatible with promoting the right to access environmental information.

37. Not all public authorities will have public registers or lists of environmental information. However, authorities should note that providing this information will also enable them to help meet the obligation set out in Regulation 4 to proactively and progressively disseminate the environmental information they hold. Public authorities can achieve this can by including the lists and registers in their guide to information (the means by which a public authority meets its obligations to publish information in accordance with the model publication scheme).

38. Although neither the EIR nor the Directive provide a definition of a “public register”, it is likely to comprise a record of documents the public is entitled to access in accordance with statutory legislation. Examples of public registers of environmental information include the Planning Register, the Contaminated Land Register, the Hazardous Waste Register, the Water Quality and Pollution Control Register, and the Register of Radioactive Substances.
39. Neither the EIR or the Directive provide a definition of a “list of environmental information”. However, the AIG suggests that the terms “lists”, “registers” and “files” are often used interchangeably and the form of the list, register or file can vary. This indicates that Regulation 8(2)(a) EIR is not limited to formal, statutory registers and can also include lists that are compiled by public authorities for a variety of reasons and in a variety of forms. For example, the AIG states that this may include collections of documents relating to a decision-making process such as an environmental impact assessment. The underlying purpose of these lists is that they will be able to assist applicants in accessing environmental information they are interested in.

**Examination of the information ‘in situ’**

40. A public authority may provide facilities for applicants to inspect the information, either by visiting the authority’s offices or alternative premises, for example a library. The public authority cannot make a charge specifically for allowing access to the information in situ. However, the EIR do allow the authority to make a charge to recover the costs of locating the information and collating it in order to make it available for inspection.

41. A charge made for locating and collating information to be inspected must be reasonable. If the information is held in a system that allows for straightforward public access, it is unlikely that a charge is reasonable. If an applicant requests inspection of material that would require a significant cost to prepare for inspection, the EIR may allow the authority to make a charge.

42. It is important that authorities ensure the right of access to environmental information can be effectively exercised by applicants, and that facilities are established and maintained for the examination of information that is requested. This is set out in Article 3(5) of the Directive.

43. Public authorities have a duty under Regulation 9 to consider whether they should provide advice and assistance to the applicant on different options to make information available. If it is possible for the public authority to offer an in-situ inspection at no cost then the public authority must offer this option to the applicant alongside any charges they propose for making the information available via other means. A public
authority may comply with its duty under Regulation 9 if it includes in its schedule of charges that certain information is available to inspect free of charge.

44. The ICO has published guidance on the form and format of responses to EIR requests, which includes further information on allowing for inspection of environmental information.

**Example**

No collation costs:

A public authority receives a request for air and water pollution readings made at a various sites over the previous six months, and the applicant asks to inspect the information. Although the information is not held in a public register and can only be read by specialist software, it is kept by the authority in an electronic database for its own monitoring purposes. The authority arranges for the applicant to visit their office and access the information using their systems. Authority staff have not been required to specifically locate the information in advance of the request. As the public authority has not incurred any costs in getting the information ready for inspection it is not reasonable for the public authority to charge the requester a collation or preparation fee.

Collation costs:

A public authority receives a request to inspect all the planning applications submitted by a particular developer over several years. Although the applications are held in a structured and easily retrievable format they are not filed by reference to the developer. The authority has to identify which applications are relevant to the request and then look through the files to locate the requested information. The authority is entitled to charge a reasonable amount to cover the cost of the time spent searching for the information, but cannot add further costs to allow inspection.

**Schedule of charges**

45. Regulation 8(8) EIR requires all public authorities to publish and make available to applicants a schedule of charges and information on the circumstances in which a charge may be
made or waived. This reflects the provisions of the Aarhus Convention and the Directive (Article 5(3)), that both state a public authority shall make available a schedule of charges which may be levied.

46. Regulation 8(1) makes clear the ability to make a charge for making environmental information available is subject to all the other subsections, including Regulation 8(8).

47. This means in order to charge applicants for environmental information, a public authority must first have published the schedule (together with details of when charges may be made and when they may be waived) and made it available to applicants.

**Example**

The First-tier Tribunal provided support for this approach in the case of Bickford-Smith v Information Commissioner and the Rural Payments Agency (an executive agency of DEFRA)(EA/2010/0031; 19 August 2010).

At paragraph 79 the Tribunal stated “Since the Rural Payments Agency had not published and made available to the Appellant a schedule of charges, seeking to charge her for the information would not accord with Regulation 8(8).”

**Example**

Similarly, in the case of Leeds City Council v Information Commissioner and the APPS Claimants (EA/2012/0020 and 0021; 22 March 2013) the First-tier Tribunal made very clear the connection between regulation 8(1) and 8(8).

At paragraph 119 the Tribunal stated that “it is a requirement, not an option, for public authorities to publish a schedule of charges capable of being scrutinised and tested to ensure that it is fair and takes into account relevant and permissible costs. This is to safeguard applicants from abuse and inconsistency. ..... Parliament cannot have intended for a publication breach to have no implications, and that there is a reason why the ability to charge in r.8(1) is subject to” the publication requirement in r.8(8). It follows that the failure of the Council to publish a schedule of charges results in the loss of its
48. As well as being a prerequisite for charging, the provision of a schedule of charges gives an applicant the opportunity to consider the cost of the request before actually making it.

49. The Commissioner recommends public authorities publish a schedule of charges on their website as part of their publication scheme. This will also complement the requirement of the model publication scheme.

50. A schedule of charges does not commit the public authority to charge for information in every case. The schedule is a starting point for calculating a charge, but should not be the sole consideration. The public authority should still consider whether a charge is reasonable in relation to each specific request.

51. A public authority should ensure the basis for the calculation of charges is set out in the schedule, as well as the following items:

- Standard costs involved in the supply of information, such as the charge per sheet of photocopying and the charge for providing information on CD-ROM and other formats.
- A list of priced publications.
- Any concessions offered to applicants such as pensioners and those receiving benefits.
- Circumstances where the supply of information is conditional on advance payment.
- An explanation of how charges for staff time will be calculated.

Advance payment (regulation 8(4)-(7))

52. Regulation 8(4) allows a public authority to require advance payment of a charge for making environmental information available.
53. Where a public authority decides it requires an advance payment it must notify the applicant of this within 20 working days of receipt of the information request, together with the amount of payment required. The period from the day of this notification to the day the payment is received does not count towards the time limit for responding to the request.

54. The applicant then has 60 working days following issue of the notification to make the payment. If payment is not made within this period the authority is not obliged to proceed with the request.

**Relationship between the EIR and FOIA**

55. The approach under the EIR contrasts with that in FOIA, where the only charges permitted are for disbursements unless the appropriate fees limit is exceeded. The Commissioner has published guidance on ‘[Requests where the cost of compliance with a request exceeds the appropriate limit](https://www.gov.uk/guidance)’.

56. Section 39 of FOIA states information is exempt from disclosure under that Act if the public authority would be obliged to disclose the information under the EIR. The exemption is subject to a public interest test, and there is a public interest in information being made freely available under FOIA. However the Commissioner takes the view there is a stronger inherent public interest in the implementation of the EIR as intended by the Directive. Therefore the Commissioner would not accept the argument that it would be in the public interest for requests for environmental information that would be chargeable under the EIR to be handled under FOIA instead.

**Other considerations**

57. This guidance relates only to the EIR. If the information is not environmental information, the EIR are not relevant and public authorities will instead need to consider the charging regime under FOIA. Guidance is available on this on [our guidance pages](https://www.gov.uk/guidance).
More information

58. This guidance has been developed drawing on ICO experience. Because of this, it may provide more detail on issues that are often referred to the Information Commissioner than on those we rarely see. The guidance will be reviewed and considered from time to time in line with new decisions of the Information Commissioner, Tribunals and courts.

59. It is a guide to our general recommended approach, although individual cases will always be decided on the basis of their particular circumstances.

60. If you need any more information about this or any other aspect of freedom of information, please contact us: see our website www.ico.org.uk.